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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cursus</th>
<th>Sem.</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bioingénierie</td>
<td>MA1, MA3</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingénierie des sciences du vivant</td>
<td>MA1, MA3</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciences du vivant</td>
<td>MA1, MA3</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Language**  English  
**Credits**  5  
**Withdrawal**  Unauthorized  
**Session**  Winter  
**Semester**  Fall  
**Exam**  During the semester  
**Workload**  150h  
**Weeks**  14  
**Hours**  5 weekly  
**Lecture**  2 weekly  
**Exercises**  3 weekly  

**Remarque**

Only one registration per student to a scientific thinking course.

**Summary**

The goal of this course is to learn how to discover, digest, analyze and a criticize scientific papers encompassing a defined topic within neuroscience.

**Content**

The goal of the course is to teach you to find, read and evaluate papers on a given neuroscience topic, link them together into a coherent scientific narrative and use this detailed and considered analysis as a foundation to critically assess current conclusions and suggest future avenues for the field, compiled in a format such as found in a comprehensive review article. We will set a defined topic within a field of neuroscience and then students will learn how to find, collect and critically read published papers relevant to the topic. From this effort we will decide upon the most impactful and critically assess the conclusions drawn, comparing and contrasting the methods and results between studies. Each week, students will summarize the main findings of the papers they select and link them in a collective narrative which will first be presented orally and then summarized in written form. Working as a team, students will then coalesce their collective summaries into a review type manuscript format designed to interweave their analysis and extract a consensus on progress in the field and define key unanswered questions. Dependent upon the quality and state of completion of the collective course document, the assembled article may be considered for potential submission to a peer reviewed journal.

**Keywords**

critical reading, science writing, neuroscience

**Learning Prerequisites**

Important concepts to start the course

MA1-MA3. Extensive background in Biology strongly recommended. Only one registration per student to a scientific thinking course. It is not allowed to withdraw from this subject after the registration deadline.

**Learning Outcomes**

By the end of the course, the student must be able to:

- Demonstrate the ability to place the individual research results within the context of the larger field.
• Critique the content of papers and express this analysis in oral and written form.
• Synthesize a scientific review narrative demonstrating a deep comprehension of the assigned papers
• Assess / Evaluate a related group of research papers.

Teaching methods
Lectures to give background information required to choose and read the papers.
Group discussion of papers and their contribution to the larger narrative.

Expected student activities
Oral presentation of select papers, singly or in group.
Read background literature in order to present papers with appropriate context.
Prepare a written abstract of the paper, and a critical, constructive evaluation of the paper, suitable to be included in a larger review manuscript.

Assessment methods
In course assessment of the quality of the analysis of assigned papers.
In course assessment of the oral presentations and participation in discussions.
In course assessment of contribution to final collective output manuscript.